

School of the Art Institute of Chicago

2018 Fall

Course: HUMANITY3362: Philosophy of Mind
Instructor: Daniel Skibra *
Response Rate: 19/21 (90.48 %)

1 - What is your overall evaluation of the course?						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
5 (Excellent)	(5)	12	63.16%		4.58	
4	(4)	6	31.58%			
3	(3)	1	5.26%			
2	(2)	0	0.00%			
1 (Poor)	(1)	0	0.00%			
				0 25 50 100	Question	
Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median
19/21 (90.48%)			4.58		0.61	5.00

2 - Please Explain:	
Response Rate	7/21 (33.33%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The course was very interesting in topic and while the professor is very attentive and easy to understand I think he can be a bit more confident and trail off less in order to really make this a great class It was very informative and I learned a lot. His teaching style was helpful to me and how I am able to learn and I enjoyed the many writing assignments that he gave because thats one of my strengths. Daniel was incredibly knowledgable and patient with the class. His lessons were detailed yet straight forward. It is a very interesting course and Daniel is very good at teaching the topic Really dug this course. At times I wish that we could delve further into some of the topics/allow space and time for tangential and ludicrous contemplations. Daniel was prepared in class with the materials he was covering and power point he made previous did a lot of help. Since the subject, we deal with is difficult subject to understand it helped a lot when he explains everything out. Just by reading it on my own it was hard to understand, but in class when we discusses it made it lot easier. good but challenging 	

3 - How challenging, rigorous or rewarding was the course?						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
5 (Very)	(5)	11	57.89%		4.53	
4	(4)	7	36.84%			
3	(3)	1	5.26%			
2	(2)	0	0.00%			
1 (Not at all)	(1)	0	0.00%			
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%			
				0 25 50 100	Question	
Response Rate			Mean		STD	Median
19/21 (90.48%)			4.53		0.61	5.00

4 - Please Explain:	
Response Rate	7/21 (33.33%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The course i very challenging in memorization and such although i don't believe it is unwarranted. As someone who like the subject, i think the amount of work for this class is fair It was very challenging as well as rewarding and I learned a lot. It was incredibly challenging, but still information that I wanted to learn and enjoyed. All of the material given makes you think a lot since it all involves the mind and the whole point is that there are numerous theories that all have the possibility of being correct or incorrect. It was very fun and interesting thinking through each theory and all of their possibilities and then talking about those possibilities in class The class was enriching and exciting. I wish that again, there was a bit more of a push towards deep dives of the theories and concepts. Some aspects were difficult to grasp and it would be beneficial to have a chance to further expound. I think philosophy itself is hard subject matter and it was challenging to understand the new concepts every week, but it was interesting and Daniel did covered it well a lot in class by using examples and discussions. not impossible 	

School of the Art Institute of Chicago

2018 Fall

Course: HUMANITY3362: Philosophy of Mind
Instructor: Daniel Skibra *
Response Rate: 19/21 (90.48 %)

5 - How productive were the class discussions or critiques?					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
5 (Very)	(5)	11	57.89%		4.53
4	(4)	7	36.84%		
3	(3)	1	5.26%		
2	(2)	0	0.00%		
1 (Not at all)	(1)	0	0.00%		
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
19/21 (90.48%)			4.53	0.61	5.00

6 - Please Explain:	
Response Rate	8/21 (38.1%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Readings are more important than the lecture to pass the exams and write the papers. But the class discussions do bring some interesting points I've never thought about in readings. • Daniel always made sure to touch on or explain every reading and it helped recap and summarize the lessons to make it easier to understand • They were very productive and I feel like I learned more from our discussions than the reading. Not that the readings were bad, I just don't learn well that way. • The classes were made up of discussions and very little lectures which I enjoyed greatly. There was always readings and good discussion overall. • Daniel's comments on reading responses and essays are super helpful and answer all the questions that were confusing or theories that were misunderstood. Class discussions/activities were fun and helped you understand the topic a little better. • Not on Daniel by any measure, I do wish the class had more motivation to ask questions, refute claims, suggest some of their own, etc. I suppose I am just looking for a more engaging experience. Daniel fostered it well, prompted us frequently, and filled the silences with further explanations and considerations which I thoroughly appreciated. But yea, just wish we could find ourselves in the thick of it at times. • I would say it would have been more interesting if there were more debates, but it is also the atmosphere of the classmates and based on classmates enthusiasm it depends I think. • I didn't usually understand the readings until talking about them in class 	

7 - What is your overall evaluation of the instructor?					
Daniel Skibra					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
5 (Excellent)	(5)	15	78.95%		4.79
4	(4)	4	21.05%		
3	(3)	0	0.00%		
2	(2)	0	0.00%		
1 (Poor)	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
19/21 (90.48%)			4.79	0.42	5.00

8 - Please Explain:	
Response Rate	9/21 (42.86%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Great teacher but a bit hard on the grading • He's a little bit strict about the grade of paper and exam, but he's so nice to give us chance to revise them later. • Daniel is very clear and understanding in his way of teaching, however like I said before if he were to trail off a bit less and talk a bit more confidently I think it would add the extra oompf needed to be a great professor • He was very helpful if I ever had any questions and was very accomodating if I ever needed anything. His comments on my papers and writing assignments were extremely helpful. • Daniel was very kind and patient and understanding in all circumstances. • He is a great teacher. He tries to explain the theories in every possible way so that everyone can understand it (written, visually, with memes, very detailed descriptions to visualize in your head). He made class fun and was very chill but taught the topic very well • Honestly really enjoyed this class thanks to him. I took it because of interest and a prior class with the original instructor, so I was bummed to learn that the teacher changed. But it was all for the better, such a nice guy and look forward to further conversations with him. • Daniel was prepared and seems like he knew all the subjects. • cool dude, looks more like charlie day than charlie day does 10/10 	

School of the Art Institute of Chicago
2018 Fall

Course: HUMANITY3362: Philosophy of Mind
Instructor: Daniel Skibra *
Response Rate: 19/21 (90.48 %)

9 - Did the instructor foster a respectful and inclusive classroom environment?					
Daniel Skibra					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
5 (Very)	(5)	18	100.00%		
4	(4)	0	0.00%		
3	(3)	0	0.00%		
2	(2)	0	0.00%		
1 (Not at all)	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
18/21 (85.71%)			5.00	0.00	5.00

10 - Please Explain:	
Response Rate	4/21 (19.05%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Daniel omce again was a very understanding and fun professor to have for this class. I cannot say that enough. • I felt very included in the class and felt comfortable to participate in dicussion, which is not always the case in lecture classes. • Especially in Philosophy, there are many sensitive topics whether that be religion or anything else. Daniel was really good about not making it go in one direction or another and allowing students to connect with their own beliefs. • very good with pronouns & super chill 	

11 - Instructor Assessment					
Was the overall structure of the course effective?					
Daniel Skibra					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
5 (yes)	(5)	17	89.47%		
4	(4)	2	10.53%		
3	(3)	0	0.00%		
2	(2)	0	0.00%		
1 (no)	(1)	0	0.00%		
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
19/21 (90.48%)			4.89	0.32	5.00

11 - Instructor Assessment					
Were the course materials well organized?					
Daniel Skibra					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
5 (yes)	(5)	18	94.74%		
4	(4)	1	5.26%		
3	(3)	0	0.00%		
2	(2)	0	0.00%		
1 (no)	(1)	0	0.00%		
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
19/21 (90.48%)			4.95	0.23	5.00

School of the Art Institute of Chicago
2018 Fall

Course: HUMANITY3362: Philosophy of Mind
Instructor: Daniel Skibra *
Response Rate: 19/21 (90.48%)

11 - Instructor Assessment						
Were the comments on assignments valuable?						
Daniel Skibra						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
5 (yes)	(5)	19	100.00%		5.00	
4	(4)	0	0.00%			
3	(3)	0	0.00%			
2	(2)	0	0.00%			
1 (no)	(1)	0	0.00%			
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
19/21 (90.48%)				5.00	0.00	5.00

11 - Instructor Assessment						
Were assignments returned promptly?						
Daniel Skibra						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
5 (yes)	(5)	12	63.16%		4.53	
4	(4)	5	26.32%			
3	(3)	2	10.53%			
2	(2)	0	0.00%			
1 (no)	(1)	0	0.00%			
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
19/21 (90.48%)				4.53	0.70	5.00

11 - Instructor Assessment						
Was the instructor on time?						
Daniel Skibra						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
5 (yes)	(5)	18	94.74%		4.95	
4	(4)	1	5.26%			
3	(3)	0	0.00%			
2	(2)	0	0.00%			
1 (no)	(1)	0	0.00%			
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
19/21 (90.48%)				4.95	0.23	5.00

School of the Art Institute of Chicago
2018 Fall

Course: HUMANITY3362: Philosophy of Mind
Instructor: Daniel Skibra *
Response Rate: 19/21 (90.48 %)





11 - Instructor Assessment						
Was the instructor accessible?						
Daniel Skibra						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
5 (yes)	(5)	19	100.00%			
4	(4)	0	0.00%			
3	(3)	0	0.00%			
2	(2)	0	0.00%			
1 (no)	(1)	0	0.00%			
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
19/21 (90.48%)				5.00	0.00	5.00






12 - Do you have additional comments on the instructor?	
Daniel Skibra	
Response Rate	8/21 (38.1%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No, just make sure to improve the public speaking a bit and I'm sure your next class will be even better! Daniel treated everyone with respect in class and responded to all perspectives of questions the best he could Daniel was one of my favorite teachers at SAIC, and even though it was a tough class, I still am really glad that I took it. on top of things like communicating changes made on the syllabus, class materials, etc. provided plenty of outside/additional reading material aside from that which was required for class clear semester structure was easy to follow respectful and understanding environment He made the material accessible and was easy to work with. I thought Daniel was great. He was super well put together as far as his ability to build up and maintain a challenging and dynamic/interesting class. I liked the amount of reading and writing which kept me a bit more on my toes compared to other academic courses I've take here. He strikes a good balance between laid back and 'strict/bossy'. Honestly, compared to probably the majority of other academic type teachers I've taken classes from here, he's a cut above in terms of his knowledge/interest/energy scope. Daniel's always been very accessible and respected thoughts of every one of us. He is extensively knowledgeable about the subject and also more. He's also very understanding of what SAIC students are like regarding tight schedule from all the studio works. Great instructor! has anyone ever told you how much you look like a bald charlie day because you really do 	





13 - Student Assessment						
I attended class regularly						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
5 (yes)	(5)	9	47.37%			
4	(4)	10	52.63%			
3	(3)	0	0.00%			
2	(2)	0	0.00%			
1 (no)	(1)	0	0.00%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
19/21 (90.48%)				4.47	0.51	4.00

School of the Art Institute of Chicago
2018 Fall

Course: HUMANITY3362: Philosophy of Mind
Instructor: Daniel Skibra *
Response Rate: 19/21 (90.48%)

13 - Student Assessment						
I came to class on time						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
5 (yes)	(5)	10	52.63%			4.42
4	(4)	7	36.84%			
3	(3)	2	10.53%			
2	(2)	0	0.00%			
1 (no)	(1)	0	0.00%			
				0 25 50 100	Question	
Response Rate		Mean		STD		Median
19/21 (90.48%)		4.42		0.69		5.00

13 - Student Assessment						
I came to class prepared						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
5 (yes)	(5)	11	57.89%			4.37
4	(4)	5	26.32%			
3	(3)	2	10.53%			
2	(2)	1	5.26%			
1 (no)	(1)	0	0.00%			
				0 25 50 100	Question	
Response Rate		Mean		STD		Median
19/21 (90.48%)		4.37		0.90		5.00

13 - Student Assessment						
I participated in class discussions / critiques						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
5 (yes)	(5)	9	47.37%			4.37
4	(4)	8	42.11%			
3	(3)	2	10.53%			
2	(2)	0	0.00%			
1 (no)	(1)	0	0.00%			
				0 25 50 100	Question	
Response Rate		Mean		STD		Median
19/21 (90.48%)		4.37		0.68		4.00

14 - Do you have additional comments on your participation?	
Response Rate	3/21 (14.29%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No • I would have participated more, I just don't feel very sure of myself when it comes to speaking in class. • None 	

School of the Art Institute of Chicago
2018 Fall

Course: HUMANITY3362: Philosophy of Mind
Instructor: Daniel Skibra *
Response Rate: 19/21 (90.48 %)

15 - How much money did you spend on course materials, books, and/or supplies for this class?

Response Rate	13/21 (61.9%)
---------------	---------------

- 0
- 0 all readings are available online
- \$30 maybe?
- Like \$20 on one book
- 40\$
- 20
- \$15
- 0
- \$25
- Maybe like 20\$ or less
- < \$20
- We had to buy one textbook which was like \$23 and other reading were all available on canvas.
- 0

16 - Is there anything else that you would like to add?

Response Rate	5/21 (23.81%)
---------------	---------------

- No
- I really liked how the flow of the class wasn't too quick. We took the time to fully understand every part of each topic we went through. One of the best philosophy classes I have taken here at SAIC and I am glad I was able to take it on my last semester.
- Thank you Daniel!!
- Nothing
- It's a great course for those who really want to get something out of a class. It can be challenging at times but it's worth it!